

## **Universal Service Administrative Company**

D. Scott Barash Vice President, General Counsel, and Assistant Secretary sbarash@universalservice.org

October 16, 2002

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

RE: In the Matter of Schools and Libraries

Universal Service Support Mechanism, WCB Docket 02-6

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The staff of the Wireline Competition Bureau requested information from the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) about the likely impact on Priority 1 demand were the Commission to conclude that voice mail is an eligible telecommunication service under the Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism.

In 2001, USAC surveyed a number of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers for information about the percent of the phone bills for schools and libraries that represented the costs of ineligible services. The companies used different sampling methods to respond, but the responses were generally consistent: voice mail accounted for 2% to 3% of these customers' phone bills. Based on this survey, USAC believes it is safe to say that voice mail eligibility would not increase demand for Priority 1 funding by more that 3%, and likely much less because Priority 1 services include such non-POTS services as video connections, T1 for Internet access, and on-premises equipment.

We hope this information will be helpful to the Commission. We will be happy to provide any additional information concerning this matter at your request.

Sincerely,

D. Scott Barash

1 Sros Sanc

Vice President and General Counsel

cc: Mark Seifert, Deputy Chief

Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau